UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

	United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL
	V. Chanly Sanchez-Bertot Defendant	Case No. 1:12-mj-00388
		rm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require
inat the a		dings of Fact
(1)	The defendant is charged with an offense described in	18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of at would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had
	a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 315 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	56(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for
	an offense for which the maximum sentence is d	eath or life imprisonment.
	an offense for which a maximum prison term of t	en years or more is prescribed in:
	a felony committed after the defendant had been U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.
	any felony that is not a crime of violence but invo	olves:
	a minor victim the possession or use of a firearm o a failure to register under 18 U.S.C.	r destructive device or any other dangerous weapon § 2250
(2)	The offense described in finding (1) was committed who r local offense.	ile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state
(3)	A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the
(4)	Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presumperson or the community. I further find that defendant	otion that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of anothe has not rebutted that presumption.
	Alternative	Findings (A)
(1)	There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	nas committed an offense
	for which a maximum prison term of ten years or Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).	
(2)		lished by finding (1) that no condition or combination of conditions d the safety of the community.
√ (1)	Alternative There is a serious risk that the defendant will not appe	Findings (B) ar.
,	There is a serious risk that the defendant will endange	
、 /	Part II – Statement of the	ne Reasons for Detention
	find that the testimony and information submitted at the a preponderance of the evidence that:	detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing
	ndant waived his detention hearing, electing not to contendant may bring the issue of his continuing detention to	

Part III - Directions Regarding Detention

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	December 13, 2012	Judge's Signature:	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
_		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge